THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MEGHALAYA, MANIPUR, TRIPURA
MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
ITANAGAR PERMANENT BENCH
NAHARLAGUN

Appeal from
Writ Petition (Civil)

WP(C) No. 501 (AP) 2010

Shri Michi Tajo
-Versus-
State of AP & 3 ors

Appellant
Petitioner

Respondent
Opposite Party

Counsel for the Appellant
Petitioner K. Tari
M. Nibo
N. Talley

Counsel for the Respondent
Opposite Party GA(AP)

Noting by Officer or Advocate	Serial No.	Date	Office,note,reports,orders or Proceeding with signature
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)

IN THE MATTER OF:

Shri Michi Tajo, Son of Late Michi Dole, Vill-Michi, P.O.,P.S Hapoli, Lower Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh.

...Petitioner.

-VERSUS-

1. The State of Arunachal Pradesh, to be represented by the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
2. The Director General of Police, Police Headquarters, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh.
3. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Police Headquarters, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Lower Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh.

...Respondents

Noting by Officer or Advocate	Serial No.	Date	Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signatures
1	2	3	4

WP(C) 501 (AP) 2010

BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K.MUSAHARY

[12th January, 2011]

Heard Mr. K. Tari, learned counsel for the petitioner. Heard also Ms. G. Deka, learned Addl. Senior Govt. Advocate appearing for the State respondents, who submits that she has received instructions from the authorities concerned to the effect that the prayer for posting for another six months at Ziro cannot be conceded.

It is an admitted position that the present petitioner, who is serving as Sub-Inspector of Police holding the charge of Officer-in-Charge (SB) at Ziro, pursuant to the impugned transfer and posting order dated 16-11-2010, has already been released and he is to join his duty at Seppa. Although the petitioner made an averment that he is due for retirement, it is found that he has still more than 4 years for retirement from service. There is no allegation against the respondent authorities that the transfer order has been made with mala fide intention or in violation of the instructions of govt. guidelines regarding transfer and posting.

In view of the above, I do not find any merit in this writ petition and accordingly, the same stands dismissed.

It is submitted by Ms. Deka, learned Addl. Senior Govt. Advocate that the petitioner's representations have also been considered and disposed of. However, it is provided that the petitioner may make an appropriate application before the authorities concerned before his retirement for his posting in his home district.

JUDGE

sd